# Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS) and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)

Sam Atallah *Editor* 



Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS) and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)

Sam Atallah Editor

Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS) and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)



Editor
Sam Atallah
AdventHealth Orlando
Oviedo Medical Center, and University
of Central Florida College of Medicine
Orlando, FL
USA

ISBN 978-3-030-11571-5 ISBN 978-3-030-11572-2 (eBook) https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-11572-2

#### © Springer Nature Switzerland AG 2019

This work is subject to copyright. All rights are reserved by the Publisher, whether the whole or part of the material is concerned, specifically the rights of translation, reprinting, reuse of illustrations, recitation, broadcasting, reproduction on microfilms or in any other physical way, and transmission or information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed.

The use of general descriptive names, registered names, trademarks, service marks, etc. in this publication does not imply, even in the absence of a specific statement, that such names are exempt from the relevant protective laws and regulations and therefore free for general use.

The publisher, the authors, and the editors are safe to assume that the advice and information in this book are believed to be true and accurate at the date of publication. Neither the publisher nor the authors or the editors give a warranty, express or implied, with respect to the material contained herein or for any errors or omissions that may have been made. The publisher remains neutral with regard to jurisdictional claims in published maps and institutional affiliations.

This Springer imprint is published by the registered company Springer Nature Switzerland AG The registered company address is: Gewerbestrasse 11, 6330 Cham, Switzerland

To all the minds filled with youthful curiosity for surgery and the life sciences, who endeavor to learn and to achieve and who have witnessed an entire body of knowledge materialize in the span of a decade – this is for you. It is for those who believe that the ingenuity of the human mind can capture imagination itself. It is for those who believe that the future of surgery is ours to shape.

Upon writing this, I finally understood the true meaning of the expression "labor of love." And it's with deep love that I dedicate this book to the people who made me who I am today. To the surgeons who have mentored me throughout my arduous years of training and, most of all, to my mother Areej, my father Bisher, my brother Asa, and my wife Michelle. To my four children, whom I love more than they can possibly imagine – Olivia, Andrew, Sidney, and Addyson.

Sam Atallah

#### **Foreword**

It is now been over 5 years since Sam Atallah first published on the subject of TAMIS TME surgery. I was invited to respond by the editor of *Techniques in Coloproctology* and wrote at the time: "I believe that 2013 will be the year of endoscopic transanal approaches to radical low rectal cancer dissection and anastomosis." I should have said 5 years, or perhaps 10! I had been following the NOTES initiatives in Strasbourg by Jacques Marescaux, Joel Leroy, and their colleagues and so was conscious of the unexploited potentials of the fundamental orifice!

About the same time, I was invited by Antonio Lacy to share in his endeavors to develop and spread the transanal TME operation in Europe. He used the medium of a dedicated TV channel, perhaps more effectively than anyone has done before – "Advances in Surgery" (AIS) – and thus reached surgeons in far-off places who could never have afforded direct access to the pioneers and teachers. Regular visits to South America and elsewhere have repeatedly confirmed the impact of this channel on surgical practice worldwide.

All clinicians involved will find that the documentation and technical detail in this book provide a valuable practical reference, volumes to digest all that threatens to change our surgical lives as we work in the depths of the pelvis.

Twenty years ago, the late Professor Takahashi and I co-convened the "First International Conference on the Lateral Ligament of the Rectum" in Tokyo. The very term "lateral ligament" summarizes the widespread ignorance of that time about the true anatomy of the lowest one third of the true pelvis. The ignorance of that century persists as the key surgical challenge of this one: how best to dissect the mesorectal envelope from the inferior hypogastric plexus and the neurovascular bundles – from above or from below? Add to that the challenge of the perineal body in abdominoperineal resection and you have two of the battlegrounds that will decide the defining importance of TAMIS.

I have followed throughout the intervening years the details of the posterior compartment of deep pelvic surgery both from above and from below: open, laparoscopically, and with the robots. Starting with the simplest comparison between "from above" and "TAMIS" – the stapling is intrinsically better with the latter – despite all improvements with angled instruments, etc., the placement of the transverse staplers from above by any form of minimally invasive surgery is often less than optimal both in angle and placement and sometimes removes more rectum than is necessary to clear the cancer.

viii Foreword

Provided enough care is taken to avoid cell implantation, the actual anastomosis can be more precisely placed to optimize the retained anorectal segment in a TAMIS operation. It is on this segment and its nerve supply, and incidentally its freedom from radiation damage, that surgeons desperately seek functional improvement for their patients. This is particularly true for the lowest possible anastomoses where function may be threatened.

At the time of going to the press, it remains unproven as to which route best facilitates access to the nerves and muscles of "pelvic happiness" and how the oncological results from rectal cancer surgery can best be optimized. The "happiness" aspect is perhaps at the top of the priority list at this time, comorbidity and metastatic disease fast becoming the final frontiers. Having performed and then watched many thousands of TME operations by various approaches, I have become acutely conscious that each important step requires just the right amount of traction and countertraction, the correct wattage, and the gentlest of touches with the diathermy, what my friend Amjad Parvaiz calls "painting."

Above all, perfect vision from 4 K and more is the greatest single gift of technology to surgery this century and a key component of the potential of much in this book. But in order to exploit what she/he can now see, the surgeon must acquire a total understanding of the anatomy of the fascial layers of the human pelvis and retroperitoneum.

When it comes to the visualization and preservation of the autonomic nervous system within the pelvis, a skirmish continues between minimally invasive abdominal surgery, particularly when performed robotically, and TAMIS. The battle is not as fundamental as it might sound, since the great majority on the TAMIS side favor laparoscopic support from above. It is really an argument of whether the key dissection deep in the pelvis is best done from above or from below, which operating team is dominant, and whether or not it can all be done perfectly from above. Comparisons between approaches need to analyze the angles that best facilitate the pursuit of the correct planes.

Embryologically defined envelopes of tissue, with surgical and MRI definable margins and recognizably shiny surfaces, present the careful surgeon with particular opportunities for cure – reflecting the fundamental truth that the primary spread of carcinoma is often contained within these envelopes. These same margins provide the basis for modern image guidance from MRI scanning, not only in planning for surgery but in modern radiotherapy (RT) as well. Furthermore, respect for the surrounding layers and the understanding of their anatomy, in both surgery and radiotherapy, have a major potential – not only for more actual "cures" but also for the preservation of the important autonomic functions of the surrounding nerve plexuses. The areas that demand the greatest attention are those that we used, in our ignorance, to call the "lateral ligament" and in the lowest anterior plane in the male.

The importance of understanding those crucial two extra layers between the mesorectal and parietal fasciae – Denonvilliers' and Waldeyer's – is seminal to pelvic anatomy. When the transanal route is chosen, the great dangers of extending laterally outside Waldeyer's fascia cannot be overemphasized Foreword ix

and have indeed threatened the good name of the whole transanal enterprise. All is revealed herein!

The talent and creative imagination in these pages gathers together the experience and skill of most of those great pioneers who have established what is essentially a major new subspecialty – transanal minimally invasive surgery.

The Pelican Cancer Foundation has been administering and recording an international database which is carefully monitoring progress. How much of our work will in 10 years time be performed transanally? What follows will help you make some current decisions for yourselves. It is certain, however, that technology, instrumentation, and surgical virtuosity will continue to be as fascinating in the coming years as this book is right now.

Bill Heald Pelican Centre, Basingstoke Hospital, UK

#### **Preface**

A decade of new knowledge has been neatly compressed into this first of its kind surgical textbook. Although a decade has eclipsed us seemingly with the blink of an eye, it is hard to recall a time before TAMIS and before taTME. Neither of these acronyms, which are this book's rubric, were spoken prior to 2009 – and yet today, they are household names to anyone in the field. It was exactly 2 years to the day, after completing my colorectal fellowship in Houston, that on June 30, 2009, I performed the first TAMIS in OR Rm. #2 at a small, unassuming community hospital. As a young impressionable surgeon fresh out of training, it left me totally entranced, and I realized at that very moment that life had been given to an altogether new kind of operation.

Of course, at that time, the operation lacked a name. I can still recall the afternoon that Sergio, Matt, and I sat down for Turkish cuisine in Winter Park, Florida, to establish one. In hand were a few sheets of blank paper and a pen as we brainstormed what to call this "thing" we had just invented. After scratching out what seemed like 100 potential names, we rationalized that, at its core, it was a minimally invasive surgical (MIS) technique, and this had to be its key identifier. We narrowed our selection down to "minimally invasive transanal surgery" (MITA) and "transanal minimally invasive surgery" (TA-MIS). Eventually, we decided on the latter, the hyphen was dropped, and the term TAMIS was officially coined.

Innovation is often a function of circumstance. The impetus for TAMIS was borne out of necessity. You see, my local hospital system could not afford the upfront capital requirement of a TEM platform. This forced consideration for alternative options and, with a little ingenuity, paved the way for the quite serendipitous creation of TAMIS. In this context, many commonly referred to TAMIS as a "poor man's TEM" during the early days after inception. For the first time, it allowed advanced transanal surgery to be performed by ordinary colorectal surgeons like myself, whose only prerequisite was an MIS skillset and access to an operating theater. With just six TAMIS cases under our belts, we were certain this was going to be the next big thing.

Sure, there was instant value in the technique for high-quality local excision of rectal neoplasia. But one could begin to envision TAMIS as a technique that could be applied more broadly – only to be honest, at the time, I really didn't have *any* clue how. It was not long afterward that the puzzle pieces would find their fit the day taTME materialized, and these two separate techniques would soon be melded into a singular one. As though on a

xii Preface

preordained collision course, the original article describing TAMIS was published in the same scientific journal and on the same week as the first human case of, what would later be termed, taTME – originally performed by Sylla, Lacy, and colleagues in Barcelona (both articles published online in *Surgical Endoscopy*, February, 2010). This would bring together not only two techniques but, far more importantly, a group of pioneers and innovators (the vast majority of which are authors herein) who would collectively shape TAMIS and taTME into what they are today. Indeed, the union of TAMIS and taTME marked the dawn of a new era in advanced transanal surgery and a quantum leap forward for our field.

The modern taTME is a harmonious amalgam of the most important developments in rectal cancer surgery to transpire over the past 40 years. Specifically, taTME is a unification of Heald's TME, Marks' TATA, Buess' 1984 TEM invention, and the concept of natural orifice specimen extraction (NOSE) as developed by Franklin. In addition, it built upon the evolution of natural orifice transluminal endoscopic surgery (NOTES) to include the creation of the single-port access channel, keyhole surgery, and, finally, the advent of TAMIS. As these techniques merged into one, we began to understand the newfound value of the taTME approach. Routed in methods for improved access to the most difficult portion of the rectum and deep pelvis, better-quality surgery was possible, not only for invasive rectal neoplasia but also for benign and premalignant disease spectra.

But, there was something intangible about TAMIS and taTME that extended beyond technical sophistication. The two approaches, in fact, had sparked our imagination and interest in exploring what could be accomplished through innovation. Rather than merely thinking outside of the box, we were, instead, kicking the box to the curb, thereby bringing a renaissance of new ideas and unorthodox surgical strategies for consideration. Hence, TAMIS and taTME had a truly transforming effect, and these approaches successfully granted mainstream appeal to advanced transanal surgery – which once had been an obscure niche mastered by only a relative handful.

It was this zest for exploring new pathways that had placed these techniques at center stage and had led to adjunctive advancements in rectal cancer surgery, including robotics for taTME, of which a multitude of next-generation platforms are actively being tooled for transanal applications. We have also witnessed the utility of biofluorescence for perfusion analysis and structure localization, as well as image-guided navigation for taTME, which collectively represents key steps toward the digitization of complex pelvic surgery and the integration of artificial intelligence into operative algorithms. Indeed, we now stand on the precipice of exponential growth in technology that will lead us to realize possibilities never before imagined.

The uptake of TAMIS and taTME has been so rapid that unique academic models had to be developed to meet the educational demand. It inspired the development of resource apps, modules, and synchronized deferred live surgery – all recently introduced to aid with the educational process for delegate trainees. These have been painstakingly designed as adjuncts to de novo training pedagogies and mentorship programs for taTME worldwide.

Preface xiii

Moreover, transcontinental registries have been established to assure responsible and safe implementation.

This book captures the cornerstone developments in a new body of knowledge. Like fabric, it encompasses content woven together by leading TAMIS and taTME authorities from across the globe, thereby assuring a collective representation. It is through this circle of pioneers, who reside in the four corners – Asia, Europe, Australia, and the Americas – that this book is able to deliver enriching perspectives.

Soon, we will embark upon a new journey, with 2030 visible on the horizon. What new challenges and discoveries lie ahead? With finite and precious time on Earth, fulfillment comes from knowing our collective contributions will remain indefinitely – and may provide the foundation for what transpires next. I consider myself truly fortunate to be part of a group shaping the future of surgery. To be able to ride atop this epic wave of innovation has been the stuff of dreams.

Orlando, FL, USA

Sam Atallah

#### **Contents**

#### Part I Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS)

1	<b>Historical Perspectives and Rationale for Development</b> Sergio W. Larach and Beatriz Martín-Pérez	3
2	<b>TAMIS: Indications and Contraindications</b>	11
3	An Algorithm for Local Excision for Early-Stage Rectal Cancer George J. Chang and T. Paul Nickerson	17
4	Complete Clinical Response in Rectal Cancer After Neoadjuvant Therapy: Organ Preservation Strategies and the Role of Surgery Laura Melina Fernandez, Guilherme Pagin São Julião, Bruna Borba Vailati, Angelita Habr-Gama, and Rodrigo O. Perez	31
5	Salvage Surgery After TAMIS Excision of Early-Stage Rectal Cancer  Sook C. Hoang and Charles M. Friel	43
6	Organ Preservation and Palliative Options for Rectal Cancer  Nienke den Dekker, Stefan Erik Van Oostendorp, and Jurriaan Benjamin Tuynman	49
7	Operative Equipment and Insufflator Options	57
8	Operating Theater Setup and Perioperative Considerations.  Teresa H. deBeche-Adams, Raymond Yap, and George Nassif	81
9	Surgical Technique for Local Excision of Rectal Neoplasia	89
10	Pyramidal Excision for Early Rectal Cancer and Special Closure Techniques Giovanni Lezoche, Mario Guerrieri, and Emanuele Lezoche	97

xvi Contents

11	Closure Versus Non-closure After Local Excision	
12	<b>Operative and Perioperative Outcomes</b>	
13	Functional Outcomes After Local Excision for Rectal Neoplasia	
14	Oncologic Outcomes for Local Excision of Rectal Neoplasia	
15	<b>Applications Beyond Local Excision</b>	
16	<b>The Evolution of Robotic TAMIS</b>	
17	<b>Transanal Robotic Surgery and Future Directions</b>	
18	<b>TAMIS: Current Controversies and Challenges</b>	
Part II Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)		
19	Indications for Malignant Neoplasia of the Rectum	
20	<b>Indications for Benign Disease of the Rectum</b>	
21	Operating Theater Setup and Two-Team Coordination 217 Aimee E. Gough, Phillip R. Fleshner, and Karen N. Zaghiyan	
22	Single-Team taTME	
23	Transanal Access Platform Options and Instrument Innovations	
24	Intraoperative Decision-Making: Converting to taTME, When and for Whom?	
	Isacco Montroni and Antonino Spinelli	
25	Isacco Montroni and Antonino Spinelli <b>Key Aspects of the Abdominal Dissection</b>	

<b>27</b>	An Overview of Operative Steps and
	Surgical Technique
28	<b>Strategies for Ultralow-Lying Rectal Cancer</b>
29	Critical Anatomical Landmarks in Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)
30	<b>Urethral Injury: The New Challenge for taTME</b>
31	<b>How to Avoid Urethral Injury in Males</b>
32	A Roadmap to the Pelvic Autonomic Nerves  During Transanal Dissection
33	Operative Vectors, Anatomic Distortion, and the Inherent Effects of Insufflation
34	<b>Total Hindgut Mesenteric Mobilization for taTME</b>
35	<b>The Role for Perfusion Angiography</b>
36	Perioperative Preparation and Postoperative Care Considerations
37	Intraoperative Morbidity of taTME
38	Functional Outcomes to Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS) and Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)
39	Oncologic Outcomes
40	<b>TaTME for Radical Exenteration</b>
41	<b>TaTME for Abdominoperineal Excision</b>

xviii Contents

42	Hartmann's Reversal by a Combined Transanal-Transabdominal Approach
43	Pure NOTES Transanal TME
44	Totally Robotic taTME: Experiences and Challenges to Date
45	Next-Generation Robots for taTME
46	Video-Based Training Apps and Deferred Live Surgery
47	Navigation for Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision
48	Current Controversies and Challenges in Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision (taTME)
49	Transanal Total Mesorectal Excision: The Next 10 Years
Ind	<b>ex</b>

#### **Contributors**

**Naoya Aisu, MD, PhD** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

**Abdullah I. Alalwan, MD** The University of Toledo, Department of General Surgery, Toledo, OH, USA

**Matthew R. Albert, MD** Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Department of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Florida Hospital, Orlando, FL, USA

**Alison R. Althans, BA** Case Western Reserve University School of Medicine, Cleveland, OH, USA

Department of Colorectal Surgery, Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA

**Brigitte Anderson** Colon and Rectal Surgery, Lankenau Medical Center, Marks Colorectal Surgical Associates, Wynnewood, PA, USA

**Eleni Andriopoulou, MD DEA** Department of Digestive Disease, The Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust, Brighton, UK

María Clara Arroyave, MD Department of Surgical Oncology, Clinica Somer, Rionegro, Colombia

**Shady Ashamalla, MSc, MD, FRCSC** Sunnybrook Health Sciences Centre, Department of General Surgery, Toronto, ON, Canada

Odette Cancer Centre, Toronto, ON, Canada

Sam Atallah, MD, FACS, FASCRS AdventHealth Orlando, Oviedo Medical Center, and University of Central Florida College of Medicine, Orlando, FL, USA

**Stephen W. Bell, MBBS, FRACS** Cabrini Monash University Department of Surgery, Malvern, VIC, Australia

Alfred Hospital, Melbourne, Australia

**Willem A. Bemelman, PhD** Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Center, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Anuradha R. Bhama, MD Rush University, Chicago, IL, USA

xx Contributors

**Frédéric Bretagnol, MD, PhD** Digestive Surgery—University Louis Mourier Hospital (APHP), Paris, France

**Carl J. Brown, MD, MSc, FACS, FRCSC** St. Paul's Hospital, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Vancouver, BC, Canada

**Nicolas C. Buchs, MD-PD** University Hospitals of Geneva, Department of Surgery, Geneva, Switzerland

**Ronan A. Cahill** Department of Surgery, Mater Misericordiae University Hospital (MMUH), Dublin, Ireland

Section of Surgery and Surgical Specialities, School of Medicine, University College Dublin, Dublin, Ireland

**Heather Carmichael, MD** Department of Surgery, University of Colorado, Aurora, CO, USA

Antonio Caycedo-Marulanda, MD, MSc Health Sciences North, Department of Surgery, Sudbury, ON, Canada

Colorectal Surgery North, Sudbury, ON, Canada

Sami A. Chadi, MD, MSc, FRCSC, FACS University Health Network and Princess Margaret Hospital, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada

Manish Chand Division of Surgery and Interventional Sciences, University College London Hospitals, NHS Trusts, GENIE Centre, University College London, London, UK

**George J. Chang, MD, MS** The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Surgical Oncology, Houston, TX, USA

**J. Calvin Coffey, MB, BSc (Hons), Phd, FRCS** University Hospital Limerick and University of Limerick, Department of Surgery and Graduate Entry Medical School, Limerick, Ireland

**Nguyen Ngoc Dan, MD** Hanoi High Tech & Digestive Center, St Paul Hospital, Hanoi, Vietnam

**Giovanni Dapri, MD, PhD, FACS** Saint-Pierre University Hospital, European School of Laparoscopic Surgery, Department of Gastrointestinal Surgery, Brussels, Belgium

**Teresa H. deBeche-Adams, MD** Florida Hospital, Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Orlando, FL, USA

**F. Borja de Lacy, MD** Gastrointestinal Surgery Department, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

**Nienke den Dekker, BSc** Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location VUmc, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

André D'Hoore, MD, PhD University Hospitals, Abdominal Surgery, Leuven, Belgium

**Anne-Marie Dufresne, MD, FRCSC** Royal Columbian Hospital, Department of Colorectal Surgery, New Westminster, BC, Canada

**Laura Melina Fernandez, MD** Angelita & Joaquim Gama Institute, Sao Paulo, Brazil

**Phillip R. Fleshner, MD, FASCRS** Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Division of Colon & Rectal Surgery, Los Angeles, CA, USA

**Charles M. Friel, MD, FACS, FASCRS** Department of Surgery, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA, USA

**Aimee E. Gough, MD** Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Division of Colon & Rectal Surgery, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Mario Guerrieri, MD Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy

**Angelita Habr-Gama, MD, PhD** Angelita & Joaquim Gama Institute, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Colorectal Surgery Division, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, Brazil

**Dieter Hahnloser, MD** University Hospital Lausanne, Department of Visceral Surgery, Lausanne, Switzerland

**Suguru Hasegawa, MD, PhD, FACS** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

Hoa Nguyen Hoang, MD, PhD Thai Binh Medical University, Thai Binh, Vietnam

Hanoi High Tech & Digestive Center, St Paul Hospital, Digestive Colorectal Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Hanoi, Vietnam

**Sook C. Hoang, MD** Department of Surgery, University of Virginia Health System, Charlottesville, VA, USA

Masaaki Ito, MD National Cancer Center Hospital East, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Kashiwa, Japan

**Kevin M. Izquierdo, MD** Lankenau Medical Center, Division of Colorectal Surgery, Wynnewood, PA, USA

**Paul Kaminsky, MD, PhD** Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Department of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Florida Hospital, Orlando, FL, USA

**Deborah S. Keller, MS, MD** Division of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Department of Surgery, New York–Presbyterian, Columbia University Medical Center, New York, NY, USA

**Seon-Hahn Kim, MD, PhD** Korea University Anam Hospital, Colorectal Division, Department of Surgery, Seoul, South Korea

**Werner Kneist, MD** University Medicine of the Johannes Gutenberg-University Mainz, Department of General, Visceral and Transplant Surgery, Mainz, Germany

xxii Contributors

**Joep Knol, MD** Department of Abdominal Surgery, Jessa Hospital, Hasselt, Belgium

**T. W. A. Koedam, MD** Amsterdam UMC, Department of Surgery, Amsterdam, Noord-Holland, The Netherlands

**Daibo Kojima, MD, PhD** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

**Akira Komono, MD** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

**Antonio M. Lacy, MD, PhD** Gastrointestinal Surgery Department, Hospital Clinic, University of Barcelona, Barcelona, Spain

**Sergio W. Larach, MD, FASCRS** Endosurgical Center of Florida, Orlando, FL, USA

**Lawrence Lee, MD, PhD** Department of Surgery, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada

Center for Outcomes Research and Evaluation, McGill University Health Centre Research Institute, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Joel Leroy, MD, FRCS (Honorary, London)** Hanoi High Tech & Digestive Center, St Paul Hospital, Digestive Colorectal Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Hanoi, Vietnam

**Emanuele Lezoche, MD, FACS, HF, ASCRS** Università di Roma "SAPIENZA", Rome, Italy

Giovanni Lezoche, MD Università Politecnica delle Marche, Ancona, Italy

**Grace Wai Ma, MD** Health Sciences North, Department of Surgery, Sudbury, ON, Canada

Colorectal Surgery North, Sudbury, ON, Canada

**John Marks, MD** Colon and Rectal Surgery, Lankenau Medical Center, Marks Colorectal Surgical Associates, Wynnewood, PA, USA

**Beatriz Martín-Pérez, MD** Hospital Clinic, Barcelona (Spain), Gastrointestinal Surgery, Barcelona, Spain

**Justin A. Maykel, MD** Division of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Department of Surgery, University of Massachusetts Medical School, University of Massachusetts Memorial Medical Center, Worcester, MA, USA

**Elisabeth C. McLemore, MD** Colon and Rectal Surgery, Los Angeles Medical Center, Kaiser Permanente, Department of Surgery, Los Angeles, CA, USA

**Armando Geraldo Franchini Melani** IRCAD Latin America, Barretos, Brazil

Americas Medical City, Rio de Janeiro, Brazil

**George Melich, MD** Royal Columbian Hospital, Department of Surgery, New Westminster, BC, Canada

William Frederick Anthony Miles Department of Digestive Disease, The Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust, Brighton, UK

**John Monson, MD** Florida Hospital Medical System, Orlando, FL, USA Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, AdventHealth Orlando, Orlando, FL, USA

Surgical Health Outcomes Consortium (SHOC), Orlando, FL, USA University of Central Florida, College of Medicine, Orlando, FL, USA

**Isacco Montroni, MD, PhD, FASCRS** Colorectal Surgery, AUSL-Romagna, Ospedale per gli Infermi- Faenza, Faenza, Italy

**George Nassif, DO** Florida Hospital, Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Orlando, FL, USA

Chuc Phan Ngoc Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

Hanoi High Tech & Digestive Center, St Paul Hospital, Digestive Colorectal Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Hanoi, Vietnam

**T. Paul Nickerson, MD** The University of Texas MD Anderson Cancer Center, Department of Surgical Oncology, Houston, TX, USA

**Vincent Obias, MD, MS** George Washington University Hospital, Department of Surgery, Washington, DC, USA

**Tomoaki Okada, MD** Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan

**Jessie Osborne Paull, MD** Walter Reed National Military Medical Center, Department of General Surgery, Bethesda, MD, USA

**Sharaf Karim Perdawood, MD** Slagelse Hospital, Department of Surgery, Slagelse, Denmark

Rodrigo O. Perez, MD, PhD Angelita & Joaquim Gama Institute, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Colorectal Surgery Division, University of São Paulo School of Medicine, Sao Paulo, Brazil

Ludwig Institute for Cancer Research São Paulo Branch, Sao Paulo, Brazil

**Uma R. Phatak, MD, MS** Section of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Surgery Department, Boston University Medical Center, Boston, MA, USA

Elizabeth R. Raskin, MD, FACS, FASCRS Loma Linda University, Loma Linda, CA, USA

VA Hospital Loma Linda, Department of Surgery, Loma Linda, CA, USA

**Reagan L. Robertson, MD, MSc, FRCSC** St. Paul's Hospital, Department of Colorectal Surgery, Vancouver, BC, Canada

xxiv Contributors

Luis Gustavo Capochin Romagnolo, MD IRCAD Latin America, Barretos, Brazil

Department of Surgery, Barretos Cancer Hospital, Barretos, Brazil

Marcos Gómez Ruiz, MD, PhD, FEBS, Coloproctology Hospital Universitario Marqués de Valdecilla, IDIVAL, Servicio de Cirugía General y Aparato Digestivo, Unidad de Cirugía Colorrectal, Santander, Spain

**Eric Rullier, MD** Department of Colorectal Surgery, Haut-Levèque, Pessac, France

Muhammad Shafique Sajid, MBBS, MSc, MBA, FCPS, FRCS (GEN) Department of Digestive Disease, The Royal Sussex County Hospital, Brighton and Sussex University Hospitals NHS Trust, Brighton, UK

**Yoshiharu Sakai, MD, PhD, FACS** Department of Surgery, Graduate School of Medicine, Kyoto University Hospital, Kyoto, Japan

**Ryohei Sakamoto, MD** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

**Jean Salem, MD** Lankenau Medical Center, Division of Colorectal Surgery, Wynnewood, PA, USA

**Dana Sands, MD, FACS, FASCRS** Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA

**Guilherme Pagin São Julião, MD** Angelita & Joaquim Gama Institute, Sao Paulo, Brazil

**Rishabh Sehgal, MB, MD** University Hospital Limerick, Department of Surgery, Limerick, Ireland

**C. Sietses, MD, PhD** Gelderse Vallei Hospital, Department of Surgery, Ede, Gelderland, The Netherlands

**Thushy Siva, MBBS** Easton Hospital, Department of Surgery, Easton, PA, USA

**António S. Soares** Division of Surgery and Interventional Sciences, University College London Hospitals, NHS Trusts, GENIE Centre, University College London, London, UK

**Antonino Spinelli, MD, PhD, FASCRS** Division of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Humanitas Clinical and Research Center, Milan, Italy

Department of Biomedical Sciences, Humanitas University, Milan, Italy

**Scott R. Steele, MD** Department of Colorectal Surgery, Digestive Disease and Surgery Institute, Cleveland Clinic Foundation, Cleveland, OH, USA

**Andrew R. L. Stevenson, MBBS, FRACS, FASCRS** University of Queensland, Brisbane, QLD, Australia

Colorectal Surgery, Royal Brisbane Hospital, Herston, QLD, Australia

**Patricia Sylla, MD, FACS, FASCRS** Division of Colon and Rectal Surgery, Icahn School of Medicine at Mount Sinai, New York, NY, USA

**Jean-Sébastien Trépanier, MD** Maisonneuve-Rosemont Hospital, General Surgery Department, Montréal, Québec, Canada

Truc Vu Trung, MD Hanoi Medical University, Hanoi, Vietnam

St Paul Hospital, Digestive Colorectal Department of Minimally Invasive Surgery, Hanoi, Vietnam

**Jurriaan Benjamin Tuynman, MD, PhD** Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location VUmc, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

**Bruna Borba Vailati, MD** Angelita & Joaquim Gama Institute, Sao Paulo, Brazil

**Stefan Erik Van Oostendorp, MD** Department of Surgery, Amsterdam University Medical Center, location VUmc, Cancer Center Amsterdam, Amsterdam, The Netherlands

Itzel Vela, MD Instituto Nacional de Cancerología, Mexico City, Mexico

**Elena A. T. Vikis, MD, Med, FRCSC** Royal Columbian and Eagle Ridge Hospitals, Department of Surgery, New Westminster, BC, Canada

**Steven D. Wexner, MD, PhD(Hon)** Digestive Disease Center, Weston, FL, USA

Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA

**Arthur Randolph Wijsmuller, MD, PhD** Department of Surgery, University Medical Center Groningen, Groningen, The Netherlands

**Albert M. Wolthuis, MD** University Hospitals, Abdominal Surgery, Leuven, Belgium

**Nathalie Wong-Chong, MD** Department of Surgery, McGill University Health Centre, Montreal, QC, Canada

**Raymond Yap, MBBS, BMedSCi, MSurgEd** Florida Hospital, Center for Colon and Rectal Surgery, Orlando, FL, USA

**Shlomo Yellinek, MD** Department of Colorectal Surgery, Cleveland Clinic Florida, Weston, FL, USA

**Yoichiro Yoshida, MD, PhD** Department of Gastroenterological Surgery, Fukuoka University Hospital, Fukuoka, Japan

**Karen N. Zaghiyan, MD, FACS, FASCRS** Cedars-Sinai Medical Center, Division of Colon & Rectal Surgery, Los Angeles, CA, USA

Part I

Transanal Minimally Invasive Surgery (TAMIS)

## Historical Perspectives and Rationale for Development

1

Sergio W. Larach and Beatriz Martín-Pérez

#### Introduction

Rectal lesions, whether of benign or malignant histology, present a special challenge for surgeons because of the difficulty of access and exposure to the rectal lumen. Traditional transanal methods, such as Parks transanal excision (TAE), have been associated with a high incidence of local recurrence, thus unleashing the development of newer approaches. Heralding the era of the expansion of endoscopic surgery, transanal endoscopic microsurgery (TEM) represented a milestone in the approach to rectal lesion excision, as it achieved minimally invasive access to the upper rectum, a better quality of excision with improved likelihood of achieving negative resection margins. As a result, decreased recurrence rates and improved disease-free survival were observed, all due to improved access and the concomitant improvement of visual field and dissection quality. Despite these advantages, TEM use was limited, mainly due to a steep learning curve, complex surgical setup, and cost of instrumentation. It was with this pretext that transanal minimally invasive surgery (TAMIS) was born, combining TEM

principles with conventional laparoscopic instrumentation, creating an important new option for appropriately trained minimally invasive colorectal surgeons.

### From Miles Resection to Parks Excision

Surgical management of rectal lesions represents a challenge for the colorectal surgeon. Through the twentieth century, the approach to rectal cancer has largely evolved from invasive radical resections to organ-sparing techniques. Jacques Lisfranc de St. Martin (1790–1847) pioneered transanal rectal cancer excision, when in 1826 he described the removal of the anus and rectum through the perineum, resulting on a perineal colostomy [1]. In 1875, Kocher and Verneuil tried to improved rectal access and described the posterior approach including coccygectomy; this was subsequently refined by Paul Kraske (1851–1930) [2]. Abdominoperineal resection (APR) for rectal cancer was later described in 1908 by Dr. Ernest Miles, reducing local recurrence rates from 100% to 30% [3]. However, the morbidity associated with APR was high, ranging from 15% to 61% [4–7].

Surgeons continued to search for less-invasive options to manage rectal cancer, particularly within the distal one-third of the rectum. The objective would be to develop sphincter-preservation techniques that could spare patients

Endosurgical Center of Florida, Orlando, FL, USA

Hospital Clinic, Barcelona (Spain), Gastrointestinal Surgery, Barcelona, Spain

S. W. Larach (⋈)

B. Martín-Pérez

from the high morbidity of APR while maintaining acceptable oncologic outcomes. In the case of premalignant lesions including carcinoma in situ, the benefits of local operations for tumor removal present a significant advantage, as such less-invasive surgery by this modality avoids the morbidity of radical surgery with virtually no oncologic compromise.

In the early twentieth century, screening and endoscopic techniques were less developed than at present, for which these group of patients with benign neoplasia or T1 cancers were subjected to a radical surgery, permanent colostomy, and a high rate of morbidity. Despite radical surgery, patients had a high rate of local recurrence even for early-stage rectal cancer [4, 6]. In this quest for better approaches, local excision for rectal lesions was born as an organ preservation surgery for suitable lesions.

The pathway for management of early-stage rectal cancer followed the treatment model of early-stage breast cancer - which was treated with either (a) breast-conservation surgery and radiotherapy or (b) radical mastectomy alone [8]. Local excision for premalignant and early-stage rectal cancer (predominately via Parks transanal excision, TAE) aimed to offer patient an improved quality of life, through stoma-free surgery and maintenance of normal bowel and urogenital function, while obtaining similar disease-free survival and cure rates to those observed with radical resection. This technique was performed with transanal retractors, which provide suboptimal exposure of the rectal lumen (Fig. 1.1). Electrocautery and conventional surgical instruments were used for the local excision of rectal neoplasms, and the full-thickness defects were closed with suture. Illumination of the rectal lumen and overall operative field exposure was limited by external field lights (headlights only modestly improve visualization, and are difficult to direct and maintain onto targets). Due to these constraints, only low-lying rectal lesions (i.e., palpable lesions, whose upper edge does not extend beyond <7 cm from the verge) were accessible by this approach, and complete, marginnegative excision of specimens could be quite challenging due to this limited exposure.



Fig. 1.1 Parks anal retractor

Despite these limitations, early series from the 1970s were able to demonstrate that local excision for early-stage rectal cancer with favorable histopathological features had equivalent oncologic outcomes when compared with radical resection. In a landmark study by Morson et al., the data for local excision revealed a failure rate (as defined by locoregional recurrence) that measured 8.4%, which was felt to be quite acceptable [9].

In the 1990s, the results of a prospective, multi-institutional study from the Cancer and Leukemia Group B (CalGB) reinforced the idea of local excision and organ preservation for select, early-stage rectal cancer [10]. Fifty-nine cases of T1 were treated with local excision alone and 51 cases of T2 undergoing adjuvant external beam radiotherapy after local excision (local excision was performed utilizing the conventional Parks TAE technique). The 6-year overall survival of 85% and disease-free survival rates of 78% for this treatment seemed promising, particularly when compared to the 20-30% failure rates after standard oncologic resection prior to the era of TME surgery [5, 6]. These encouraging early results were very well received by the surgical community, which resulted in an overall increased rate of local excision as a modality of treatment [11]. Unfortunately, subsequent series published inferior results even in the same selection of T1 patients, whereby the observed local recurrence rate increased from 8% to 18% for T1